

# Liouville and Calabi-Yau type theorems for complex Hessian equations

Sławomir Dinew, Sławomir Kołodziej

## Abstract

We prove a Liouville type theorem for entire maximal  $m$ -subharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with bounded gradient. This result, coupled with a standard blow-up argument, yields a (non-explicit) a priori gradient estimate for the complex Hessian equation on a compact Kähler manifold. This terminates the program, initiated in [HMW], of solving the non-degenerate Hessian equation on such manifolds in full generality. We also obtain, using our previous work, continuous weak solutions in the degenerate case for the right hand side in some  $L^p$ , with sharp bound on  $p$ .

## Introduction

The complex Hessian equation

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = f\omega^n$$

( $\omega$  a Kähler form,  $f > 0$  given function,  $1 < m < n$ ) can be seen as an intermediate step between the Laplace and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. It encompasses the most natural invariants of the complex Hessian matrix of a real valued function - the elementary symmetric polynomials of its eigenvalues. Its real counterpart has numerous applications and has been thoroughly studied (see [W]). Some equations of similar type appear in the study of geometric deformation flows such as the  $J$ -flow ([SW]). Thus it can be expected that Hessian equations themselves may also have interesting applications in geometry, and indeed they came up recently in [AV] in problems related to *quaternionic geometry*.

Due to their non linear structure, and similarly to the Monge-Ampère equation, the Hessian equation is considered only over a suitable subclass of functions for which ellipticity is guaranteed. These subclasses, in a sense, interpolate between subharmonic and plurisubharmonic functions and thus they are also interesting object to study from potential theoretic point of view ([B1], [DK], [Chi]). In the Kähler manifold case the corresponding classes of functions, namely  $\omega - m$  subharmonic ones, do not yield, in general, classical positive definite metrics, which distinguishes them from  $\omega$ -plurisubharmonic functions.

The *real* Hessian equation<sup>1</sup> has been extensively studied, both in suitable domains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and on Riemannian manifolds. Likewise, the theory of the complex Hessian equation is being developed in domains of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and on compact Kähler manifolds. The case of domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is to a large extent understood

<sup>1</sup>A detailed survey of the theory of the real Hessian equation can be found in [W].

([Li], [Bl1], [DK]). In particular the classical Dirichlet problem is solvable in the smooth category ([Li], [Bl1]) under standard regularity assumptions on the data and some convexity assumptions on the boundary of the domain.

The corresponding problem on a compact  $n$ -dimensional Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$

$$\begin{cases} u \text{ is } \omega - m \text{ subharmonic} \\ (\omega + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = f\omega^n \\ \sup_X u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

with  $f$  smooth, strictly positive, and satisfying the necessary assumption  $\int_X f\omega^n = \int_X \omega^n$  remained unsolved in full generality until now. The equation was solved under the assumption of nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature ([Hou], [J], [Ko]) or under additional convexity assumptions on  $u$  itself ([G], [Z]).

The natural approach by continuity method, as in the case of the complex Monge-Ampère equation ([Y]), faces an obstacle which does not appear in Yau's solution to the Calabi conjecture: the  $C^2$  a priori estimate needed for the closedness part seems to depend on the a priori gradient bound. Such a gradient bound in the Monge-Ampère case was obtained by Błocki and Guan independently ([Bl2], [G]) but their methods seem to fail in the Hessian case.

Thus the only remaining piece in the program of solving the complex Hessian equation on compact Kähler manifolds is the a priori gradient estimate.

In this note we prove such an estimate by exploiting blow-up analysis as advocated by Hou-Ma-Wu in [HMW]. We obtain a Liouville type theorem for entire maximal  $m$ -subharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the gradient bound then follows as a corollary. Below we give the precise statements:

**Theorem 0.1 (Main result).** *Let  $u$  be a globally bounded maximal  $m$  subharmonic function in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $1 < m < n$ . Suppose moreover that  $\sup_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|\nabla u\| < \infty$ . Then  $u$  is constant.*

**Theorem 0.2 (Gradient estimate).** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . Suppose that  $u \in C^4(X)$  is an  $\omega - m$  subharmonic function solving the equation*

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = f\omega^n$$

*and  $\max u = 0$ , with  $f$  a  $C^2$  smooth positive function. Then*

$$\sup_X \|\nabla u\|_\omega \leq C,$$

*with a constant  $C$  dependent on  $n$ ,  $m$ ,  $\|f^{1/m}\|_{C^2}$  and the bound on the bisectional curvature of  $(X, \omega)$ .*

As a corollary, our bound, coupled with the existent results ([HMW], see Preliminaries), yields that the equation (0.1) is solvable in the smooth category.

**Theorem 0.3.** *The equation (0.1) has a solution on any compact Kähler manifold.*

The questions whether there is an explicit gradient bound (independent of a blow-up argument) and whether the  $C^2$  estimate is indeed dependent on the one for gradient remain open.

From Theorem 0.3 and the results of our previous paper [DK] we also obtain weak continuous solutions for nonnegative  $f$  in some  $L^p$  spaces. The result is sharp as far as the exponent  $p$  is concerned.

**Theorem 0.4.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold. Then for  $p > n/m$  and  $f \in L^p(dV)$  there exists a unique function  $u \in \mathcal{SH}_m(X, \omega)$  satisfying*

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = f \omega^n$$

and  $\max u = 0$ .

In [DK] this theorem was shown under additional assumption of nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature. The same proof applies here once we have smooth solutions from Theorem 0.3.

## 1 Preliminaries

We briefly recall the notions and results that we shall need later on.

Throughout the note  $\Omega$  will denote a relatively compact domain in some  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , while  $(X, \omega)$  will be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . Let also  $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$  and  $d^c := i(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$  denote the standard exterior differentiation operators. By  $\beta := dd^c \|z\|^2$  we shall denote the Euclidean Kähler form in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , while  $\omega$  will be a fixed Kähler form on a compact Kähler manifold.

The standard *positivity cones* associated to  $\sigma_m$  equations are defined by

$$\Gamma_m = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_1(\lambda) > 0, \dots, \sigma_m(\lambda) > 0\}, \quad (1.1)$$

with  $\sigma_j(\lambda) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_m}$ .

By Gårding theorem (see [Ga]) these positivity cones are convex.

If  $\Omega$  is a fixed domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $u$  is any  $\mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$  function we call it  $m - \beta$ -subharmonic ( $m$ -sh for short) if for any  $z \in \Omega$  the complex Hessian matrix  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}(z)$  has eigenvalues forming a vector in the closure of the cone  $\Gamma_m$ . By analogy given a complex Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$  a  $\mathcal{C}^2$  smooth function  $u$  is called  $\omega - m$ -subharmonic if the form  $\omega + dd^c u$  has at each point  $z$  eigenvalues (with respect to  $\omega$ ) forming a vector in  $\bar{\Gamma}_m$ . Note that as  $\omega$  depends on  $z$  the positivity assumption is *dependent* on  $z$  too<sup>2</sup>.

In the language of differential forms  $u$  is  $m$ -subharmonic (respectively  $\omega - m$  subharmonic) if and only if the following inequalities hold:

$$(dd^c u)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} \geq 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

(resp.  $(\omega + dd^c u)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} \geq 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m$ ).

It was observed by Błocki ([B1]) that, following the ideas of Bedford and Taylor ([BT1], [BT2]), one can relax the smoothness requirement on  $u$  and develop a non linear version of potential theory for Hessian operators.

The relevant definition is as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $u$  be a subharmonic function on a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $u$  is called  $m$ -subharmonic ( $m$ -sh for short) if for any collection of  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -smooth  $m$ -sh functions  $v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}$  the inequality*

$$dd^c u \wedge dd^c v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c v_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq 0$$

holds in the weak sense of currents.

The set of all  $m$ -sh functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{SH}_m(\Omega)$ .

<sup>2</sup>It can be proved ([Hou]) that the positivity cones associated to any point  $z$  are invariant under parallel transport with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of  $\omega$ .

Similarly weak  $\omega$ - $m$ -subharmonicity is defined on compact Kähler manifolds (see [DK] and [Chi]).

Using an approximating sequence of functions one can follow the Bedford and Taylor construction from [BT2] of the wedge products of currents given by locally bounded  $m$ -sh functions. They are defined inductively by

$$dd^c u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_p \wedge \beta^{n-m} := dd^c(u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_p \wedge \beta^{n-m}).$$

It can be shown (see [Bl1]) that, in analogy to the pluripotential setting, these currents are continuous under monotone or uniform convergence of their potentials. Moreover the mixed Hessian measures are also positive ([Bl1]):

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be bounded  $m$ -subharmonic functions then the measure*

$$dd^c u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_m \wedge \beta^{n-m}$$

*is nonnegative.*

Here we list some basic facts about  $m$ -subharmonicity.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a domain. If  $\mathcal{SH}_k(\Omega)$  denotes the class of  $k$ -subharmonic functions in  $\Omega$  then*

1.  $\mathcal{SH}(\Omega) = \mathcal{SH}_1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{SH}_2(\Omega) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{SH}_n(\Omega) = \mathcal{PSH}(\Omega)$ ,
2. If  $u \in \mathcal{SH}_m(\Omega)$  and  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -smooth convex, increasing function then  $\gamma \circ u \in \mathcal{SH}_m(\Omega)$ ,
3. Given any convex model domain  $P$  the  $P$ -average of a  $m$ -sh function  $u$  defined by  $u_P(z) := \frac{1}{\int_P \beta^n} \int_P u(z+w) \beta^n(w)$  function is again  $m$ -sh on the set where it is defined.

Note that, in particular, if  $u$  is nonnegative  $m$ -sh, and  $B(r)$  is the ball  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \|z\| < r\}$  then  $[u^2]_r(z) := \frac{1}{\int_{B(r)} \beta^n} \int_{B(r)} u^2(z+w) \beta^n(w)$ , is still  $m$ -subharmonic.

The following two theorems, known as comparison principles in pluripotential theory, follow essentially from the same arguments as in the case  $m = n$ :

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $u, v$  be continuous  $m$ -sh functions in a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Suppose that  $\liminf_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} (u - v)(z) \geq 0$  then*

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}.$$

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $u, v, w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}$  be continuous  $m$ -sh functions in a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Suppose that  $\liminf_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} (u - v)(z) \geq 0$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v) \wedge dd^c w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \\ & \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u) \wedge dd^c w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m}. \end{aligned}$$

On a compact Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$  the solvability of the Hessian equation (for  $1 < m < n$ )<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{cases} u \text{ is } \omega - m \text{ subharmonic;} \\ (\omega + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = f\omega^n \\ \sup_X u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

with a strictly positive smooth function  $f$  satisfying the necessary condition  $\int_X f\omega^n = \int_X \omega^n$  has attracted recently a lot of interest. The equation was solved under a curvature assumption ([Hou], [J], [Ko]) or under additional convexity assumptions for  $u$  itself ([G], [Z]). The continuity method applied in the solution of the Calabi conjecture by S. T. Yau ([Y]) is already known to work, up to a point, without any extra assumptions. Let us state the relevant ingredients:

1. The openness part for the continuity method works ([Hou]);
2. The uniform estimate needed for the closedness part was furnished by Hou ([Hou]). Alternative approaches were found in [DK] and [Chi];
3. The  $C^{2+\alpha}$  a priori estimate for  $u$  follows from standard Evans-Krylov theory as it was observed by Hou ([Hou]);
4. The  $C^2$  a priori estimate holds provided there is an a priori gradient bound ([HMW]).

In particular the only remaining part to accomplish the program was the gradient a priori estimate. In fact the Hou-Ma-Wu [HMW] result yields even more and it will be used in our argument below.

**Theorem 1.6.** *If  $u \in C^4(X)$  solves the equation (1.2) then the following  $C^2$  a priori estimate holds*

$$\sup_X \|dd^c u\|_\omega \leq C(\sup_X \|\nabla u\|^2 + 1), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $C$  is a constant dependent on  $\|f^{1/m}\|_{C^2(X)}$ ,  $m$ ,  $n$ ,  $\|u\|_\infty$  and the bound on the bisectional curvature of  $(X, \omega)$ .

In particular, as mentioned by the authors in [HMW], this type of estimate is amenable to blow-up analysis which reduces the gradient bound to a certain Liouville type theorem for global  $m$ -sh functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

## 2 The gradient estimate for the complex Hessian equation - reduction to a Liouville type theorem

Although it is pretty standard, we present the details of this reduction for the sake of completeness.

---

<sup>3</sup>The case  $m = 1$  corresponds to the standard Laplace equation, while the solvability in the case  $m = n$  is guaranteed by the Calabi-Yau theorem.

Suppose that on some compact Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$  the gradient estimate fails. By definition one then finds a sequence of  $\mathcal{C}^4$  smooth  $\omega - m$  sh functions  $u_j$  solving the problems

$$\begin{cases} (\omega + dd^c u_j)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = f_j \omega^n \\ \sup_X u_j = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with some strictly positive functions  $f_j$  satisfying  $\|f_j^{1/m}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2(X)} \leq C$  for some uniform constant  $C$  (in particular the uniform norm of  $f_j$  is also under control and hence, so is the uniform norm of  $u_j$  ([Hou], [DK])), yet  $C_j := \sup_X |\nabla u_j| \rightarrow \infty$ .

After passing to a subsequence one may assume that the maximum of any  $\|\nabla u_j\|$  is attained maximum point. in a fixed coordinate chart and those points converge to a point  $z$  which can be taken to be the center of this coordinate chart. We may further assume that  $\omega := i \sum_{k,j=1}^n g_{j\bar{k}} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k$  is equal to  $dd^c v$  for some local smooth plurisubharmonic function (the local potential of  $\omega$ ).

Changing the coordinates and shrinking the chart if needed one may further assume that  $\omega(0) = \beta$  and  $\omega(z) = O(\|z\|^2)$ . Then the subsequence (which we shall still denote by  $u_j$ ) still has its gradient maximum points contained in the chart and converging to the center. Without loss of generality we assume that the unit ball in the introduced coordinates is contained in the chart.

Consider now the dilation  $\hat{u}_j(z) := u_j(\frac{z}{C_j})$  relative to the local coordinates introduced above. By construction  $\hat{u}_j$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^4$  smooth function on the ball  $\mathbb{B}(0, C_j) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \|z\| < C_j\}$  with  $\sup_{\mathbb{B}(0, C_j)} \|\nabla \hat{u}_j\| = 1 + O(\frac{1}{C_j})$ . Moreover  $\sup_{\mathbb{B}(0, C_j)} \|dd^c \hat{u}_j\| \leq \frac{1}{C_j^2} \sup_X \|dd^c u_j\| \leq C$  by Theorem 1.6. Thus for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  the family  $\{\hat{u}_j\}_{j \geq k}$  is relatively compact in the  $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$  topology on the domain  $\mathbb{B}(0, C_k)$ . Then, by a standard diagonalization procedure and Arzela-Ascoli theorem one can extract a limiting function  $u \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , such that  $\|\nabla u(0)\| = 1$ . In particular  $u$  is not constant.

Observe however that in the introduced coordinates (2.1) becomes

$$dd^c((v + u_j)(z))^m \wedge (dd^c v(z))^{n-m} = f_j(z)(dd^c v(z))^n.$$

Thus  $\hat{u}_j$  satisfies the equation

$$\left(\frac{dd^c(v)(z/C_j)}{C_j^2} + dd^c \hat{u}_j(z)\right)^m \wedge \left(\frac{dd^c(v)(z/C_j)}{C_j^2}\right)^{n-m} = f_j(z/C_j) \left(\frac{dd^c(v)(z/C_j)}{C_j^2}\right)^n.$$

For  $j$  large the latter equality can be written as (recall  $v$  is smoothly bounded!)

$$(O(1/C_j)\beta + dd^c \hat{u}_j)^m \wedge ((1 + O(1/C_j^2))\beta)^{n-m} = O(1/C_j^{2m}). \quad (2.2)$$

More generally for any  $1 \leq k \leq m$  a similar reasoning coupled with the  $\omega - m$  subharmonicity of  $u_j$  yields

$$(O(1/C_j)\beta + dd^c \hat{u}_j)^k \wedge ((1 + O(1/C_j^2))\beta)^{n-k} \geq 0.$$

These inequalities inductively yield that the limiting function  $u$  is  $m$ -sh. Then the equalities (2.2) can be read also in the pluripotential sense and thus one can extract the weak limit satisfying

$$(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, by a result of Blocki ([B11]), this means that  $u$  is a maximal  $m$ -sh function in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Thus we have constructed uniformly bounded maximal nonconstant  $m$ -sh function which is, in addition, globally  $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$  smooth with all  $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$  norms under control. In particular the gradient is uniformly bounded. Thus a Liouville type theorem saying that no such function exists would yield a contradiction, and hence a (nonexplicit) a priori gradient estimate.

### 3 Liouville theorem for maximal $m$ -subharmonic functions

Any bounded entire  $m$ -subharmonic function is in particular subharmonic. While bounded subharmonic functions do exist in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , they all must have controlled behavior at infinity for *almost all values* as the next theorem shows.

**Theorem 3.1** (Cartan lemma, see [HK]). *Let  $u$  be a bounded subharmonic function in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Fix any  $q > 2n - 2$ . If  $a = \sup_{\mathbb{C}^n} u$ , then*

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty, x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus A} u(x) = a,$$

where the set  $A$  is contained in, at most, countable collection of balls  $\mathbb{B}(x_k, r_k)$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_k}{\|x_k\|}\right)^q < \infty$ .

In particular if  $u$  is a bounded nonnegative  $m$ -sh function with  $1 = \sup_{\mathbb{C}^n} u$  then for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$   $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [u^2]_r(z) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [u]_r(z) = 1$ . This fact could have been proven using more elementary Harnack inequalities, but by referring to Cartan's lemma we make the argument shorter.

**Theorem 3.2** (Liouville type theorem for  $m$ -subharmonic functions). *Any  $u$  bounded,  $m$ -sh maximal function in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with bounded gradient<sup>4</sup> is constant.*

*Proof.* We use induction over  $n$ . Note that for  $n = 1$  and  $m = n$  the statement is known.

Arguing by contradiction assume  $u$  is nonconstant and  $\inf u = 0, \sup u = 1$  and  $\|\nabla u\| < c_0$ .

First suppose that  $u$  has the following property: there exists  $\rho > 0$ , a sequence of mappings  $G_k$ , each a composition of a complex rotations and a translation, and a sequence of balls  $B_k = B(0, r_k)$ ,  $r_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$[u^2 \circ G_k]_{r_k}(0) + [u \circ G_k]_{\rho}(0) - 2u \circ G_k(0) \geq 4/3, \quad (3.1)$$

where we use the notation

$$[v]_r(z) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B(z, r))} \int_{B(z, r)} v \beta^n,$$

$\text{Vol}(E) = \int_E \beta^n$ ; and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_k} \left| \frac{\partial u \circ G_k}{\partial z_1} \right|^2 \beta^n = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>By this we mean that  $u$  is Lipschitz with global Lipschitz constant under control.

The functions

$$u_k = u \circ G_k,$$

are maximal  $m$ -subharmonic. By Arzela-Ascoli theorem and a diagonalisation argument one can choose a subsequence converging locally uniformly to an entire  $m$ -sh function  $v$ . This function is also maximal by the convergence theorem [B11]. From (3.2), and the bound on  $\|\nabla u\|$  it follows that  $v$  is constant along the lines with fixed  $z' = (z_2, \dots, z_n)$ .

Indeed, suppose that for some complex numbers  $a, b$  and positive  $c$  we had  $v(a, z'_0) > v(b, z'_0) + 2c$ . Then, by  $|\nabla v| < c_0$ , for  $\delta = c/(4c_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{v(z_1, z') : |z_1 - a| < \delta, |z' - z'_0| < \delta\} \\ & > \sup\{v(z_1, z') : |z_1 - b| < \delta, |z' - z'_0| < \delta\} + c. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the directional derivative of  $v$  along intervals parallel to  $[a, b]$  with endpoints in the sets appearing on both sides of the above formula, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Fubini's theorem, we get for an interval  $I$  of length  $\delta$  perpendicular to  $[a, b]$  in  $z_1$  plane and  $E = [a, b] \times I \times B(z'_0, \delta)$

$$\int_E \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_1} \right|^2 \beta^n > c(n) \delta^{2n} c^2 / (4|a - b|) = c(n) c^{2(n+1)} / (4^{n+1} c_0^{2n} |a - b|)$$

( $c(n)$  - dimensional constant). By the uniform convergence the analogous estimate would be true for  $u_k$ ,  $k$  large enough, in contradiction to (3.2).

Therefore  $v_1(z_2, \dots, z_n) = v(z)$  is  $m$ -sh, maximal in  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ . By induction hypothesis it is constant, but this is impossible, since:  $v \equiv c$  would violate (3.1) as

$$[u_k]_\rho(0) - u_k(0) \geq 1/3.$$

Therefore the property stated at the beginning of the proof does not occur. This means that there is  $R > 0$ , such that if  $r > R$  and  $z, \rho > 0$  satisfy

$$[u^2]_r(z) + [u]_\rho - 2u(z) \geq 4/3$$

then for any vector  $w$ ,  $|w| = 1$

$$\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B(z, r))} \int_{B(z, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial w} \right|^2 \beta^n \geq c_1 = c_1(r). \quad (3.3)$$

Choose origin,  $\rho > 0$  and  $r > R$  so that  $u(0) < 1/9$ ,  $[u]_\rho(0) > 3/4$  and  $[u^2]_r(0) > 3/4$ . The choice is possible since for the first inequality one can use  $\inf u = 0$ , and the second and third inequalities for  $r, \rho$  large enough follow from the Cartan lemma. Then

$$0 \in U = \{2u < [u^2]_r(z) + [u]_\rho - 4/3\}.$$

By maximality of  $u$  the set  $U$  is not bounded. From  $dd^c u^2 = 2(du \wedge dd^c u + u dd^c u)$ , and (3.3) it follows that

$$dd^c [u^2]_r \geq 2[u dd^c u]_r + c_2 \beta. \quad (3.4)$$

(with  $c_2$  depending on  $c_1, r$  and the average form  $[2u dd^c u]_r$  defined in analogy to  $[v]_r$  above). Hence, by Proposition 1.2

$$dd^c [u^2]_r \wedge (dd^c u)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq c_2 (dd^c u)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m+1}, \quad (3.5)$$

on the set  $U$ . Take  $g(z) = -\epsilon||z||^2$  with positive  $\epsilon$  so small that  $c_2\beta > -2dd^c g$  (and therefore  $[u^2]_r + g$  is m-sh on  $U$  (see (3.4))) and note that

$$U_g = \{2u < [u^2]_r(z) + u_\rho + g - 4/3\}$$

is nonempty. The set  $U_g$  is bounded since  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} g(z) = -\infty$  and it is contained in  $U$ . Then using (3.4) and the comparison principle

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{c_2}{2} \int_{U_g} (dd^c u)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m+1} \\ & \leq \int_{U_g} dd^c([u^2]_r + [u]_\rho + g) \wedge (dd^c u)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq 2^m \int_{U_g} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}, \end{aligned}$$

and having 0 on the left, we obtain

$$(dd^c u)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m+1} = 0$$

on  $U_g$ . Apply the comparison principle again for the same functions and the background form  $(dd^c u)^{m-2} \wedge \beta^{n-m+2}$  in place of  $(dd^c u)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m+1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{c_2}{2} \int_{U_g} (dd^c u)^{m-2} \wedge \beta^{n-m+2} \\ & \leq \int_{U_g} dd^c([u^2]_r + [u]_\rho + g) \wedge (dd^c u)^{m-2} \wedge \beta^{n-m+1} \leq 2^{m-1} \int_{U_g} (dd^c u)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m+1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(dd^c u)^{m-2} \wedge \beta^{n-m+2} = 0$$

on  $U_g$ . Repeating the procedure, after  $m-2$  steps we get  $\beta^n = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment** The authors were partially supported by NCN grant 2011/01/B/ST1/00879.

## References

- [AV] S. Alekser, M. Verbitsky, Quaternionic Monge-Ampère equations and Calabi problem for HKT-manifolds, *Israel J. Math.* 176 (2010), 109138.
- [BT1] E. Bedford, B.A. Taylor, The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation, *Invent. Math.* 37 (1976), 1-44.
- [BT2] E. Bedford, B.A. Taylor, A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions, *Acta Math.* 149 (1982), 1-40.
- [B1] Z. Błocki, Weak solutions to the complex Hessian equation, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 55, 5 (2005), 1735-1756.
- [B2] Z. Błocki, A gradient estimate in the Calabi-Yau theorem, *Math. Ann.* 344 (2009), 317-327.
- [Chi] L. H. Chinh, Solutions to degenerate Hessian equations, *preprint* arXiv 1202.2436v2.

- [DK] S. Dinew, S. Kolodziej, A priori estimates for the complex Hessian equations, *preprint* arXiv1112.3063
- [Ga] L. Gårding, An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials, *J. Math. Mech.* 8 (1959) 957-965.
- [G] P. Guan, On the gradient estimate for Monge-Ampère equation on Kähler manifold, Private notes.
- [HK] W. K. Hayman, P. B. Kennedy, Subharmonic functions Vol I, *London Math. Soc. Monographs*, (1976).
- [Hou] Z. Hou, Complex Hessian equation on Kähler manifold, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 16 (2009), 3098-3111.
- [HMW] Z. Hou, X.-N. Ma, D. Wu, A second order estimate for complex Hessian equations on a compact Kähler manifold, *Math. Res. Lett.* 17 (2010), 547-561.
- [J] A. Jbilou, Équations hessiennes complexes sur des variétés kählériennes, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 348 (2010), 41-46.
- [Ko] V. N. Kokarev, Mixed volume forms and a complex equation of Monge-Ampère type on Kähler manifolds of positive curvature, *Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat.* 74:3 (2010), 65-78.
- [Li] S.-Y. Li, On the Dirichlet problems for symmetric function equations of the eigenvalues of the complex Hessian, *Asian J. Math.* 8 (2004), 87-106.
- [SW] J. Song, B. Weinkove, On the convergence and singularities of the  $J$ -flow with applications to the Mabuchi energy, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 61 (2008), 210-229.
- [W] X.-J. Wang, The  $k$ -Hessian equation, *Lect. Not. Math.* 1977 (2009).
- [Y] S. T. Yau, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 31 (1978), 339-411.
- [Z] X. Zhang, A priori estimates for complex Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 19 (2010), 381 43836.

Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102, USA;  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University 30-348  
 Krakow, Lojasiewicza 6, Poland;  
 e-mail: `slawomir.dinew@im.uj.edu.pl`

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University 30-348  
 Krakow, Lojasiewicza 6, Poland;  
 e-mail: `slawomir.kolodziej@im.uj.edu.pl`